Excess Molar Enthalpies of Chloro- or Methylcyclohexane + an Alkanol at 298.15 K

Trevor M. Letcher* and J. Ashley Nevines

Department of Chemistry, University of Natal, Durban 4001, Republic of South Africa

Excess molar enthalpies H_m^E were measured as a function of mole fraction at 298.15 K and atmospheric pressure for the eight mixtures chlorocyclohexane or methylcyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol. The results are compared to H_m^E for cyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol, and the effect of the chloro and methyl groups is noted.

(

Introduction

We have previously reported H_m^E values for chloroben-zene or methylbenzene + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol (Letcher et al., 1993; Letcher et al., 1991a). The aim of the work was to investigate the interaction between a chlorinated or methylated benzene and an alkanol, focusing on the role of the chloro group or the methyl group on the interactions. The H_m^E results implied that the chloro group did indeed have a small enhancing effect on the interaction between the chlorobenzene and the alkanol (Letcher et al., 1993). The same was not true for the methyl group which had little influence on the magnitude of $H^{\!E}_{\!m}$ for methylbenzene + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol (Letcher et al., 1991a). In this paper we report $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ for chlorocyclohexane or methylcyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol. The only difference between this set of mixtures and the previously reported set (Letcher et al., 1991a, 1993) is that the benzene ring of one of the components has been replaced by a cyclohexane ring.

Experimental Section

The chlorocyclohexane (Janssen) and methylcyclohexane (Merck) were used without further purification and were quoted to be 98 and >99 mol % pure, respectively. The alkanols were dried and distilled as described before (Letcher *et al.*, 1990) and stored in a glovebox before use. Analysis by the Karl Fisher technique showed that the water contamination of each of the alkanols was less than 0.02 mol %.

The estimated error in the excess enthalpy $(H_{\rm m}^{\rm E})$ and mole fraction (*x*) is less than 3% and 0.1%, respectively, as judged from reproducibility experiments conducted on cyclohexane + heptane mixtures.

A ThermoMetric flow microcalorimeter 2277 was used to determine the $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ values. The temperature was accurate to 0.02 K and was monitored by a calibrated quartz thermometer fixed into the cell component.

Results and Discussion

The measured excess molar enthalpies H_m^E are given in Table 1. To each set of experimental values (except the methylcyclohexane + methanol system as it is not miscible over the whole mole fraction range) a polynomial of the type

0021-9568/96/1741-0151\$12.00/0

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

$$\delta H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm J}\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1}) = H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm J}\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1}) - x(1-x) \sum_{r=0}^{r=k} A_r(1-2x)^r$$
(1)

was fitted by a method of unweighted least squares. The parameters A_r are given in Table 2.

The ethanol + methylcyclohexane system has been measured previously (Vesely *et al.*, 1975; Nagata and Yamada, 1974). Our results are in excellent agreement with the data reported by Vesely *et al.* and show a maximum variance of less than 2%. When compared with the data reported by Nagata and Yamada, our results show an average lower $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ of approximately 5–6% although the discrepancy at the low mole fraction of methylcyclohexane end of the system varies to a greater extent.

Vesely *et al.* (1975) also evaluated the propan-1-ol + methylcyclohexane system. Our results follow a form similar to their reported data and are within 10 J mol⁻¹ at each end of the system. The maximum $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ from our investigation of this system is approximately 25 J mol⁻¹ less than that Vesely *et al.* reported.

The propan-2-ol + methylcyclohexane system was investigated in 1978 by Nagata *et al.* In comparison our results again follow a similar form although our maximum is approximately 5% less than that reported by the earlier researchers.

The $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ values for all the mixtures we report are positive, with $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ (max) occurring at 0.65 < x < 0.75 for chlorocyclohexane mixtures and at 0.55 < x < 0.65 for methylcyclohexane mixtures. The $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ values for the related mixtures cyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol are positive (Letcher *et al.* 1991b) and are most likely a result of the disintegration on mixing of the hydrogen bonds between the alkanol molecules. A comparison of these results with the $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ values for the work reported here in Table 1 indicates that a similar process is responsible for the magnitude and sign of the excess enthalpies. This can be inferred from a comparison of $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ for equimolar mixtures of chlorocyclohexane or methylcyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol with the $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ values for equimolar mixtures of cyclohexane + ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol (Letcher et al., 1991b) given in Table 3.

There is a significant difference between H_m^E values for chlorocyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol and the H_m^E values for methylcyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol. This can be seen at x = 0.5 in Table 3. From a comparison of the H_m^E for an equimolar mixture of chlorocyclohexane +

© 1996 American Chemical Society

X	$H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/(J\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1})$	$\delta H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({ m J}{ m \cdot mol}^{-1})$	X	$H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm J}\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1})$	$\delta H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm J}{\cdot}{\rm mol}^{-1})$	X	$H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm J}{\cdot}{\rm mol}^{-1})$	$\delta H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({ m J}{ m \cdot mol}^{-1})$
$x C_{6}H_{11}Cl + (1 - x) CH_{3}OH$								
0.110	296.4	5.8	0.559	778.4	5.2	0.740	761.7	-7.8
0.240	517.5	-8.3	0.624	795.4	5.9	0.775	740.2	-2.1
0 252	544 4	2.2	0 724	774 4	-3.5	0.906	479.6	81
0.452	722.2	-1.1	0.7.2.1		0.0	0.000	110.0	0.1
\mathbf{v} C ₂ H ₁ ,CH ₂ + (1 - \mathbf{v})CH ₂ OH								
0.018	73.1		0 1 26	334.9	<i>x</i>) 0113011	0 799	404 4	
0.010	280.0		0.120	330.9		0.700	301.6	
0.087	200.0		0.125	254 7		0.824	274.6	
0.000	215.9		0.140	368.6		0.845	374.0 918 7	
0 104	959 1	5.0	0.200	$_{6}H_{11}CI + (I - x)$		0 791	979 0	5 1
0.104	200.1	5.0	0.390	090.0	0.2	0.721	0/0.0	5.1
0.158	304.4	1.1	0.482	///.0	1.8	0.767	840.2	-5.5
0.210	450.0	-5.6	0.555	828.1	-1.9	0.826	768.2	3.3
0.279	555.2	-4.0	0.660	872.6	-4.0			
			$x C_6$	$H_{11}CH_3 + (1 - x)$	CH ₃ CH ₂ OH			
0.105	216.5	0.6	0.538	552.3	5.7	0.705	547.5	-5.6
0.152	291.6	2.9	0.627	559.4	-0.6	0.751	536.0	0.8
0.301	435.7	-6.7	0.683	553.9	-3.7	0.809	494.6	4.6
0.457	525.9	3.3						
			$x C_6$	$H_{11}Cl + (1 - x)C$	$H_3(CH_2)_2OH$			
0.103	230.6	-1.2	0.319	645.4	2.0	0.788	888.6	-1.1
0.128	297.6	6.0	0.455	767.0	0.9	0.824	855.5	2.2
0.183	409.3	-6.3	0.564	830.6	-1.6	0.899	664.4	-1.1
0.236	519.2	0.1	0.725	904.5	0.3			
$\mathbf{v} \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{U} \cdot$								
0 108	137.5	5.1	0 554	504 2	-0.3	0 776	530.0	-10.1
0.176	218.2	-0.8	0.625	539.9	7 5	0.855	470 7	0.9
0.170	338.9	-6.8	0.020	547 3	-3.5	0.000	362.6	87
0.200	462.9	4 2	0.711	047.0	0.0	0.012	002.0	0.7
01100	10010	112	vC.U	$[C] \perp (1 - v) C \square$				
0.007	270.0	6.2	0.210	(1101 + (1 - x) 01)	7 0	0 560	1097 5	_9.8
0.037	370.0	0.2	0.319	004.7	7.0	0.309	1007.5	-2.8
0.130	490.4	-3.9	0.320	900.0	3.0	0.727	1003.1	0.2
0.195	040.8	-2.8	0.407	1041.1	3.3	0.816	938.8	-3.0
0.247	760.1	-5.1	0.471	1034.5	-0.3			
0.000	000.0	0.0	$x C_6 H_1$	$_{1}CH_{3} + (1 - x)C$	H ₃ CH(OH)CH ₃	0 5 7 0	704.0	1.0
0.093	209.8	2.3	0.315	534.4	1.8	0.572	/24.6	-1.6
0.113	243.3	-1.5	0.392	610.0	-2.3	0.646	729.5	0.9
0.179	350.0	-4.1	0.396	613.0	-3.0	0.742	669.8	-2.4
0.233	435.6	4.3	0.491	694.0	3.8	0.756	659.0	1.9

Table 1. Excess Molar Enthalpies H_m^E for Chlorocyclohexane or Methylcyclohexane + an Alkanol and the Deviations δH_m^E Calculated from Eq 1 and Table 2 at 298.15 K

^a The results for methylcyclohexane + methanol relate only to miscible mixtures.

Table 2. Coefficients A_r and Standard Deviations σ^a for $H_m^{\rm E}$ {x (C₆H₁₁Cl or C₆H₁₁CH₃) + (1 - x) ROH} at 298.15 K Calculated Using Eq 1

ubstituted yclohexane A_0		A_1 A_2		A_3	$\sigma/(\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{mol}^{-1})$				
CH ₃ OH									
C ₆ H ₁₁ Cl	2295.2	-958.1	1913.6	-935.7	6.7				
		CH ₃ C	H ₂ OH						
$C_6H_{11}Cl$	3161.3	-1576.9	$\tilde{2}040.0$	-957.9	5.3				
$C_6H_{11}CH_3$	2146.4	-585.2	1406.2	-535.0	5.2				
		CH ₃ (CI	H ₂) ₂ OH						
$C_6H_{11}Cl$	3178.3	-1211.4	2726.5	-2850.5	3.6				
$C_6H_{11}CH_3$	1917.4	-986.1	1397.8	-1305.5	7.5				
		CH ₃ CH(OH)CH₃						
$C_6H_{11}Cl$	4235.0	-1138.9	2602.0	-1630.3	5.8				
$C_6H_{11}CH_3$	2782.4	-1168.5	791.4	194.0	3.3				

 ${}^a\sigma = [\Sigma(H^{\rm E}_{\rm m}({\rm exptl}) - H^{\rm E}_{\rm m}({\rm calcd}))/(n-k)]^{1/2}$ where n is the number of experimental points.

hexane (Letcher and Nevines, 1995) and $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ for an equimolar mixture of methylcyclohexane + hexane (Veselý *et al.*, 1975) (the values are 493 and 11 J mol⁻¹, respectively) it appears as though the significant difference mentioned above is due to the greater enthalpic effect of dissociation of chlorocyclohexane as compared to the enthalpy of dissociation of methylcyclohexane. The larger

Table 3. Excess Molar Enthalpies H_m^E {0.5 C₆H₁₁X + 0.5 C₁H_{2*j*+1}OH} Where X = Cl, CH₃, or H and *j* = 1, 2, or 3

	$H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}(x=0.5)/$	
mixture	(J·mol ^{−1})	ref
$\overline{C_6H_{11}Cl+CH_3OH}$	750	this work
$C_6H_{11}Cl + CH_3CH_2OH$	790	this work
$C_6H_{11}Cl + CH_3(CH_2)_2OH$	795	this work
$C_6H_{11}Cl + CH_3CH(OH)CH_3$	1057	this work
$C_6H_{11}CH_3 + CH_3OH$	480	this work ^a
$C_6H_{11}CH_3 + CH_3CH_2OH$	536	this work
$C_{6}H_{11}CH_{3} + CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}OH$	480	this work
$C_6H_{11}CH_3 + CH_3CH(OH)CH_3$	695	this work
$C_6H_{12} + CH_3CH_2OH$	637	Letcher et al. (1991b)
$C_6H_{12} + CH_3(CH_2)_2OH$	587	Letcher et al. (1991b)
$C_6H_{12} + CH_3CH(OH)CH_3$	806	Letcher et al. (1991b)

^{*a*} Extrapolated value.

positive dissociation enthalpy is due to the polar nature of the chlorobenzene. A comparison of the H_m^E values for chlorocyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol with H_m^E values for cyclohexane + methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, or propan-2-ol at x = 0.5 in Table 3 shows that the effect of a chloro group attached to the cyclohexane ring results in an enhanced H_m^E . If there was a significant association between the chloro group and the hydrogen atom of an alkanol group, one would expect a reduced $H_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$. It appears then that there is little interaction between the chloro group of the chlorocyclohexane and the alkanol. Furthermore, the results indicate that the attachment of a methyl group to the cyclohexane ring contributes a small negative effect to H_m^E and is probably due to the enhanced London dispersive interactions as a result of the increased carbon number of one of the species.

Literature Cited

- Letcher, T. M.; Prasad, A. K.; Mercer-Chalmers, J. D. Excess molar enthalpies and excess molar volumes on mixing an aromatic compound with an alcohol at 298.15 K. S. Afr. J. Chem. 1991a, 44 (1), 17-21
- Letcher, T. M.; Mercer-Chalmers, J. D.; Prasad, A. K. Excess molar enthalpies of mixtures of a cycloalkane and an alkanol. *Thermo-*chim. Acta **1991b**, 188 (1), 157–162.
- Letcher, T. M.; Nevines, J. A. Unpublished work, 1995. Letcher, T. M.; Nevines, J. A.; Vijayan, R. P.; Radloff, S. E. Excess enthalpies of (a chlorinated benzene + an alkanol) at the temper-

ature 298.15K. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1993, 25 (3), 379-383.

- Letcher, T. M.; Prasad, A. K.; Schoonbaert, F. E. Z.; Mercer-Chalmers, J. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1990, 22 (8), 765-770.
- Nagata, I.; Asano, H.; Fujiwara, K. Excess enthalpies for systems of 2-propanol-benzene-methylcyclohexane. Fluid Phase Equilib. 1978, 1 (3), 211-217.
- Nagata, I.; Yamada, T. Correlation and prediction of excess thermodynamic functions of strongly nonideal liquid mixtures. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev.* **1974**, *13* (1), 47–53.
- Veselý, F.; Mikulic, A. V.; Svoboda, V.; Pick, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1975, 40 (9), 2551-2559.

Received for review July 17, 1995. Accepted October 23, 1995.8 The authors wish to thank Natal University and the FRD (South Africa) for financial support.

JE9501752

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, December 15, 1995.